

GSUSA Gold Award Scholarship Rubric

Category	Description	0 Does Not Meet the Requirements	1 Meets Requirements Marginally	2 Meets Requirements Well (Indicates a Gold Award Project)	3 Exceeds Expectations	4 Far Exceeds Expectations (rare!)
National and/or Global Link	The applicant explained how their project connects to an issue that is relevant beyond their own community, and ideally used research to inform the project itself.	not convey research that helped	The applicant showed limited understanding of the connection between their project and a national and/or global issue. They demonstrated limited research on their issue.	larger national and/or global issue. Their solution contributes to solving that problem.	The applicant specifically connected their project to a larger national and/or global issue. They have examined solutions to the same or similar problems in their communities or countries and used this research to inform their project.	their project beyond their immediate community.
Measurability/Impact	The applicant demonstrated their impact through data and their information. They used numbers to say, "Here's the change I planned to make, and theire's how I know I made it."	on the problem identified. The applicant may not have measured the impact, or the	The project solution had limited impact on the problem identified; or the applicant made a clear effort to explain the problem, but the project solution does not match the problem well, or the impact is unclear.	measured their impact.	The project solution successfully addressed the problem identified for a significant number of individuals and/or to a significant degree. The applicant identified their success criteria and built them into their project planning.	The project successfully addressed the problem identified for a significant number of people to a significant degree. The applicant identified their success criteria and used data and analytics to demonstrate their project's impact over time.
	The applicant identified a root cause of their issue and addressed it through a well-constructed and researched project plan.	The applicant did not identify a root cause of their issue. Their project addressed an immediate need with a short-term or one-off solution.	The applicant identified a root cause of their issue, but their project did not address it.	consideration of a root cause of the problem identified through a well constructed approach.	The applicant presented a well- constructed and well- researched plan with careful attention to detail that described the problem, formed a well-reasoned solution that addressed a root cause , and executed on a plan to implement their solution.	The applicant presented a well- constructed and well-researched project plan that showed both careful attention to detail and creative thinking in addressing a root cause of their issue and the solution was especially innovative and/or the impact was profound. Their project may have addressed a new/underserved area.
Resources	The applicant used the resources (technical, natural/environmental, financial, social, subject matter experts/human, infrastructure, local organizations, and more) available to them in a creative and effective way to increase their project's reach or expand its impact.		The applicant made limited use of available resources but there was some attempt to use available resources to enable or inform their work.	resources.	The applicant demonstrated a well-considered use of resources, and showed some innovation in finding or using resources in their project.	The applicant showed remarkable innovation or ingenuity in the use of their resources – making great use of existing resources, or overcoming a significant lack of resources available to them.
Sustainability	The applicant's project has continued to have an impact after they have completed their part.	following this project.	There may be some impact beyond their involvement, but it's not very significant or solid. There may be a vague sustainability plan, but there is little evidence to back it up.	sustainability.	The project has had a significant and lasting impact beyond their involvement.	The project has had a significant and lasting impact beyond their involvement, and that impact is strong and self-sustaining.

GSUSA Gold Award Scholarship Rubric

Category	Description	0 Does Not Meet the Requirements	1 Meets Requirements Marginally	2 Meets Requirements Well (Indicates a Gold Award Project)	3 Exceeds Expectations	4 Far Exceeds Expectations (rare!)
	The applicant exercised leadership by involving appropriate members of the community they served in their project.	not engage appropriate members of the community in the solution, before, during, or after the project.	The applicant may have attempted to work with appropriate members of the community for their project, but it was not a core element of their project's work. This project may have conveyed some benefit to the target audience but it was not a partnership.	appropriate members of the community before, during, and after the project and they have demonstrated that their target audience is benefiting from	The applicant engaged their community before, during, and after the project. There are demonstrated ways that appropriate community members are benefiting from and participating in their project. The community is helping sustain their work after the project's completion.	The applicant engaged their community before, during, and after the project. There are demonstrated ways that appropriate community members are benefiting from and participating in their project. The community has taken their idea and replicated it, or established it as an ongoing service.
Community Engagement						
Team Leadership	The applicant led a team to accomplish their goals. They explain how they attracted people to help with their project, delegated the work, and managed their team.	did it by themselves or this project appears driven by an adult (parent, mentor, or existing organization that the applicant served).	The applicant did not convey significant effort to engage a wider team from the community or the applicant led their project team with outsized support or direction (excessive help from an adult). Teamwork might have been limited to people from their immediate network performing only peripheral tasks but it was not a functioning team.	The applicant recruited and led a team to complete their project. There is evidence that the team performed their assigned roles.	The applicant effectively led a team that included at least one expert, along with a diverse representation of skill sets. They sparked action within their larger community.	The applicant thoughtfully assembled and engaged a team with diverse skill sets and areas of expertise. They showed extraordinary effort in inspiring others outside of their immediate network to take action on their chosen issue.
Personal Growth and Development	The applicant described the new skills they gained, qualities they learned about themselves, and how these discoveries will help them in the future.	The applicant did not describe or exhibit meaningful personal growth as a result of completing their project.	The applicant gave general/non- compelling examples of personal growth.	The applicant described how their project changed their experience or outlook, and there is some evidence that this development will continue.	The applicant was able to articulate the impact completing the Gold Award had on them, including skills gained, how the project helped them learn about themselves, and how these discoveries connect with their future plans.	The applicant expressed concrete examples of how they will apply lessons from their Gold Award in future endeavors.they have demonstrated ongoing civic engagement that is tied to, or inspired by their project.